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ABSTRACT: Near-field radiative heat transfer (NFRHT) arises between objects separated by nanoscale gaps and leads to dramatic enhancements in heat transfer rates compared to the far-field. Recent experiments have provided first insights into these enhancements, especially using silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) surfaces, which support surface phonon polaritons (SPhPs). Yet, theoretical analysis suggests that SPhPs in SiO$_2$ occur at frequencies far higher than optimal. Here, we first show theoretically that SPhP-mediated NFRHT, at room temperature, can be 5-fold larger than that of SiO$_2$, for materials that support SPhPs closer to an optimal frequency of 67 meV. Next, we experimentally demonstrate that MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ closely approach this limit. Specifically, we demonstrate that near-field thermal conductance between MgF$_2$ plates separated by 50 nm approaches within nearly 50% of the global SPhP bound. These findings lay the foundation for exploring the limits to radiative heat transfer rates at the nanoscale.
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Near-field radiative heat transfer (NFRHT) describes the transfer of thermal energy between objects separated by distances smaller than the dominant wavelength of thermal radiation. In recent years this area has attracted much interest, because at nanometer separations the radiative energy transfer between objects can readily exceed tens of kW m$^{-2}$ which offers significant potential for future applications in energy transport and conversion, including in thermo-photovoltaics, photonic refrigeration, thermal management, and heat-assisted magnetic recording. Large radiative heat fluxes arise in the near-field—well above the blackbody limit that sets the upper bound to far-field radiative heat transfer (RHT)—as contributions from surface waves, such as surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) and surface phonon polaritons (SPhPs) or from the evanescent tails of guided modes, dramatically enhance heat transfer rates (Figure 1A). Moreover, the density of evanescent channels increases quadratically with their surface-parallel wavevector $k$, offering significantly increasing numbers of energy-transport channels with increasing $k$. Therefore, as the gap size between objects is reduced to the nanoscale, surface waves enable access to increasingly high-$k$ channels, leading to dramatic increases in RHT. In contrast, in far-field RHT, the gaps between the surfaces are much larger than the penetration depth of the evanescent modes and hence only propagating waves contribute to energy transport in this regime. Following significant theoretical and computational efforts (see for example refs 14−18), experimental studies leveraging detailed measurements on a few select dielectric and metallic materials were finally able to independently confirm the validity of the framework of fluctuational electrodynamics, which is now broadly used to study various transport mechanisms and materials in NFRHT.

However, what has not been addressed yet with regard to the fundamental understanding of NFRHT is the important question: Is it feasible to develop a theoretical framework that predicts, analogous to the blackbody limit in far-field RHT, the maximum NFRHT flux for any given class of materials at a given gap size? The focus of this letter is to first address this question and to develop a new theoretical framework that explores limits to NFRHT across all materials that support SPhP resonances. Next, building upon insights from this framework, we identify Al$_2$O$_3$ and MgF$_2$ as materials that support SPhP frequencies close to or almost exactly coinciding with the optimal frequency identified by our theory. Finally, we leverage state-of-the-art experimental approaches to directly characterize the NFRHT rates of Al$_2$O$_3$ and MgF$_2$ for gap sizes ranging from 42 nm to 7 μm. Our experimental findings establish the validity of our model and show that MgF$_2$ closely approaches this upper NFRHT bound.

We begin by noting that early computational studies suggested that SiO$_2$ is an attractive material and a well-suited
platform to achieve large enhancements in NFRHT, because SiO$_2$ supports SPhPs at $\sim 144$ meV (10 $\mu$m free-space wavelength), very near the optimal thermal frequency ($\sim 128$ meV) predicted by Wien’s Law at a temperature of 300 K. Recent experiments with SiO$_2$ surfaces$^{39,40}$ have tested these predictions and shown significant enhancements relative to far-field RHT. However, from a fundamental viewpoint a key unanswered question is if other material systems can support even larger enhancements in NFRHT. A variety of computational works demonstrated further possible enhancements in systems ranging from ideal Drude- or Drude–Lorentz-model materials$^{27-29}$ to metasurfaces$^{30-33}$ and thin-film and multilayer$^{34-36}$ structures. For example, a study$^{42}$ that explored nanostructured doped-Si surfaces predicted an enhancement that is $\sim 3$ times higher than that observed for SiO$_2$. The largest potential NFRHT rates that have been discussed up to this point are based on the fundamental upper limits associated with conservation laws,$^{37,38}$ yet those limits are not constructive, as they do not identify real-world structures and may well not be practically achievable. Some of us have suggested,$^{39}$ by computationally exploring the space of all bodies obeying causal susceptibilities, that 5-fold enhancements in NFRHT, over that of SiO$_2$, should be possible and predicted that certain conducting oxides and aluminum and titanium nitrides may approach this limit. However, the material systems suggested for this approach require dopants at unusually low concentrations, which are not readily available for experimental verification as very few other applications call for plasmonic resonances at such long wavelengths.

A related question that one can ask is the following: What is the largest possible NFRHT conductance achievable in materials where NFRHT is mediated by a SPhP resonance between plane-parallel surfaces? We answer this question in a general way by adapting an approach some of us developed in ref 39. The key idea is that causality ensures that any passive, local material can be represented as an infinite sum of Drude-Lorentz oscillators, with the coefficients (“oscillator strengths”) as the only degrees of freedom.39,40 Applied to the question of maximum NFRHT, it was found in ref 39 that optimizing over arbitrarily large numbers of oscillators led to a single Drude-like oscillator, with all of its oscillator strength concentrated at zero oscillator frequency. Such materials are expected to exhibit HTC coefficients as large as 9200 W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ at 50 nm gap sizes, but they would require a free-electron-like response at mid-IR frequencies that is difficult to achieve in either natural or doped materials.

To apply the causality-based optimization framework to the question of maximal NFRHT mediated by SPhP resonances, we need to incorporate the distinguishing features of phonon-polaritonic materials. Toward this goal, we utilize the empirical fact that the ratio of the transverse to longitudinal frequencies of the optical phonon modes shows little variation across a broad range of materials and, for many common materials (see Supporting Information for more details), is bounded above by $\omega_{LO}/\omega_{TO} \leq 2$. The square of this ratio forms the basis for the Lyddane–Sachs–Teller (LST) relation, which relates the ratio to zero- and high-frequency properties of the optical-phonon contributions to the dielectric function.41,42 We impose this ratio as a constraint on the many-oscillator representation, as detailed in the Supporting Information, which constrains the allowable oscillator strengths across all oscillator frequencies. We find that, independent of the number of oscillators
included in the optimization, the optimal solution converges to a single lossy oscillator, but with a nonzero oscillator frequency. By requiring the satisfaction of the Lyddane−Sachs−Teller relation, a new globally optimal HTC value emerges. At 300 K the real part of the optimal dielectric function in the vicinity of the optical phonon would then be that depicted by the solid black line of Figure 1B; the key data point is that the frequency at which Re $\varepsilon(\omega)$ crosses $-1$ is about 67 meV, which also aligns well with the peak of the spectral HTC values as depicted in Figure 1C. MgF$_2$, in particular, has a spectral HTC that nearly overlaps with the ideal phonon-mediated HTC spectrum. Theory suggests that Al$_2$O$_3$ and MgF$_2$ should exhibit conductance values of 1800 W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ and 2900 W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$, at gaps of 50 nm, which would approach within 33% and 54% of the global SPhP bound, respectively.

In order to explore if the theoretical bounds described above can actually be accomplished using materials like Al$_2$O$_3$ and MgF$_2$, we employ an experimental platform that combines high-resolution and stiff calorimetric microdevices with a custom-built nanopositioner that allows precise alignment and positioning of calorimetric devices, making possible gap sizes below 100 nm between planar surfaces of the microdevices. The microdevices feature an 80 $\mu$m-diameter circular mesa that extends 15 $\mu$m from the rest of the device (see Figure 2A, Supporting Information and Figure S1 for details of the fabrication). A thin-film serpentine platinum line integrated into the suspended island is used in a modulated-thermometry measurement scheme. $^4$ The island is suspended from the substrate by two thick beams (40 $\mu$m in thickness, 13 $\mu$m in width, and 550 $\mu$m in length resulting in a thermal conductance ($G_{th}$) of $\sim$260 $\mu$W/K; see Supporting Information). A 1 $\mu$m-thick SiO$_2$ layer provides the electrical isolation between the platinum thermometer and the mesa. The schematic in Figure 2B shows the different layers of the microfabricated calorimeter depicting the flat and smooth mesa and the island suspended by two thick silicon beams. The platinum thermometer, electrically isolated from the mesa, acts as a heater and a thermometer. (b) Schematic depicting the different layers in the emitter (with a recessed region “rec”) and receiver. (c) Atomic force microscopy scans of the mesa surface. The first panel shows an as-fabricated mesa (40 $\times$ 40 $\mu$m$^2$ area marked as green region in (a)), indicating RMS roughness < 2 nm. The second and third panels show mesa surfaces coated with films of MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$, respectively. The surface RMS roughness is below 5 nm, with a small number of ~20 nm-sized particles.

Figure 2. Description of the device structures and their surface characteristics. (a) False-colored scanning electron micrograph of the microfabricated calorimeter depicting the flat and smooth mesa and the island suspended by two thick silicon beams. The platinum thermometer, electrically isolated from the mesa, acts as a heater and a thermometer. (b) Schematic depicting the different layers in the emitter (with a recessed region “rec”) and receiver. (c) Atomic force microscopy scans of the mesa surface. The first panel shows an as-fabricated mesa (40 $\times$ 40 $\mu$m$^2$ area marked as green region in (a)), indicating RMS roughness < 2 nm. The second and third panels show mesa surfaces coated with films of MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$, respectively. The surface RMS roughness is below 5 nm, with a small number of ~20 nm-sized particles.
Figure 3. Description of the measurement scheme and representative experimental data. (a) Thermal model, showing temperatures at the three nodes, as well as corresponding thermal resistances, conductances, and heat input. A current equal to \( I_{DC} + I_{AC} \sin(2\pi ft) \) is passed through the serpentine resistor (as shown in the false-colored scanning electron micrograph), and the resulting amplitude of voltage signal \( \Delta V_f \) is continuously measured. \( T_{ref} \) is the temperature of the substrate and cold receiver, \( G_{dev} \) is the thermal conductance of the emitter, \( T_{em} \) is the temperature of the emitter, \( Q_{in} \) is the power dissipated in the Pt resistor, \( Q_{dev} \) is the radiative heat transfer due to near-field effects, and \( h_{NFRHT} \) is the radiative heat transfer coefficient. (b) Schematic of the assembled devices in a near-field experiment, depicting the emitter placed above a receiver device. The gap size is controlled using a piezoelectric actuator on the receiver side. Contact with the receiver is monitored by reflecting a laser beam off the backside of the emitter. The recessed region (rec) emits far-field radiation only that is accounted for in the theoretical calculations. (c) Real-time sample data depicting an approach between a MgF\(_2\)-coated receiver and a MgF\(_2\)-coated emitter that was heated by 12 K. The top panel shows the displacement steps of the emitter before contact. Large steps are taken initially, and smaller steps of \( \sim 2 \) nm are taken close to contact. The inset shows the steps taken in the last 200 nm. The middle panel displays the corresponding temperature changes of the emitter. Large changes are observed in the last few hundred nanometers, indicating a steep increase in near-field-based heat flux from the hot emitter to the cold receiver for small gap sizes. The third panel depicts the optical signal, which remains noise-limited until contact occurs between the two devices.

microfabricated emitter structure. The mesa surface, as fabricated, is extremely flat and smooth, with an RMS roughness < 2 nm (Figure 2C, top panel). Polar dielectrics, such as MgF\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), are deposited on these microdevices using electron beam evaporation and atomic layer deposition techniques, respectively. The RMS roughness of the deposited surface is \( \sim 3-5 \) nm. There are a small number of \( \sim 20 \) nm-sized particles observed on the dielectric films (Figure 2C). Based on the size of these particles and the slight misalignment in parallelization between the two surfaces, we estimate the minimum gap size to be 40 nm.

The receiver is held at ambient temperature (not heated or cooled) and is a pristine, large area (1 cm \( \times \) 1 cm sized) silicon substrate (Figure 2B) coated with the identical dielectric (MgF\(_2\) or Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)) of suitable thickness (85 or 195 nm, respectively). In general, thicker films more closely approximate a semi-finite surface but are more prone to having a larger roughness during deposition, which prevents accomplishing NFRHT measurements at small gaps. Thus, the thicknesses employed in our experiments represent a trade-off between these two competing factors. The emitter and receiver devices are precisely aligned in the nanopositioner (see our previous works\(^7,20,21\)) for detailed procedures), after which the whole assembly is placed in a chamber held at a pressure of \( \sim 5 \times 10^{-7} \) Torr to suppress conductive and convective heat transfer. The platinum serpentine integrated into the emitter works as both a heater and a thermometer. Upon supplying a current \( I(t) \) consisting of AC and DC components (Figure 3A), \( I(t) = I_{DC} + I_{AC} \sin(2\pi ft) \), there is heat dissipation in the probe given by \( Q_{in} = I_{DC}^2R + I_{DC}^2R/2 + 2I_{AC}I_{DC}R \sin(2\pi ft) - I_{AC}^2R \cos(4\pi ft)/2 \), where \( R \) is the Pt resistance. Since the frequency of modulation, \( f \), is chosen to be 495 Hz, much higher than the thermal cutoff frequency of the emitter (see Supporting Information for frequency response of the emitter), the DC temperature rise is proportional to \( I_{DC}^2R + I_{AC}^2R/2 \). The emitter temperature is simultaneously monitored by measuring the voltage fluctuations at \( f(\Delta V_f) \), via a lock-in detection scheme resulting in measurement of the temperature changes of the emitter (\( \Delta T_{NF} \)) via a lock-in detection scheme resulting in measurement of the temperature changes of the emitter (\( \Delta T_{NF} \), see Supporting Information and ref 44 for the measurement details). The total radiative heat transfer \( Q_{NFRHT} \) is then calculated based on the thermal resistance network, which is shown in Figure 3A along with the corresponding thermal conductances and temperatures. In the far-field, \( Q_{nf} = G_{dev} \times \Delta T_{ref} \) where \( \Delta T_{ref} = T_{em,i} - T_{ref} \) \( T_{em,i} \) is the initial temperature of the emitter and \( T_{ref} \) is the temperature of the substrate (300 K). As the gap size is reduced, the emitter temperature reduces by \( \Delta T_{NF} \) to \( T_{em,2} \). Thus, the gap-dependent heat flux change, \( Q_{NFRHT} \), corresponding to this temperature change \( \Delta T_{NF} \) is given by \( Q_{NFRHT} = G_{dev} \times \Delta T_{NF} \). The total radiative heat flux is then calculated as the sum of the measured heat change (\( Q_{NFRHT} \)) and a calculated far-field heat transfer component \( Q_{EF} \) which has contributions.
from the “mesa” and the “rec” regions (the region on the emitter that is always in the far-field as shown in Figure 3B) of the emitter:

\[ Q_{NFRHT} = Q_{NF} + Q_{FF}. \]

Based on this radiative heat flux, we then define a radiative HTC, normalized by the absolute temperature difference between the emitter and the receiver as

\[ h_{NFRHT} = \frac{Q_{NFRHT}}{\Delta T_{ref} - \Delta T_{NF}}, \]

where \( \Delta T_{ref} \) is calculated as \( \frac{Q_{in}}{G_{dev}}. \)

Experiments start at a gap size of \( \sim 8 \mu m \) which is subsequently reduced until contact is established using a feedback-controlled piezoelectric actuator that displaces the receiver (Figure 3B). Mechanical contact between the devices is detected optically by focusing a laser (635 nm) on the backside of the emitter and collecting reflected light in a split photodiode. A small sinusoidal AC voltage at 3 kHz is applied to the piezo-actuator causing the receiver to oscillate at an amplitude of \( \sim 1.76 \) nm. A sudden change in the detected optical signal unambiguously signals the formation of a contact between the emitter and the receiver as the emitter begins to oscillate at this frequency. Figure 3C shows real-time data acquired before contact is made between the emitter and the receiver. As the gap size is reduced, the emitter temperature drops due to the increasing HTC between the emitter and the receiver. The temperature signal remains relatively constant between gap sizes of 8 \( \mu m \) and 500 nm, whereas at smaller gap sizes, large changes are observed that scale approximately as \( 1/d^2 \), as expected for NFRHT.

Results from our experiments using devices coated with MgF\(_2\) or Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) are shown in Figure 4. To perform these experiments, thin films of MgF\(_2\) or Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) were deposited on the emitter and receiver as described above (and in Supporting Information), with the emitters initially heated at large gap sizes such that \( \Delta T_{ref} = 12 \) K. In addition, measurements are made at different \( \Delta T_{ref} \) values of 5.4 K (Figures 4A,B) and 19 K (Figure 4C,D) to ensure repeatability of our results when the emitter is heated to different initial temperatures. The radiative heat fluxes as a function of gap size are shown in Figure 4A,C, down to \( \sim 45 \) nm gap sizes, immediately before contact, where \( Q_{NFRHT} \) exhibits a 500-fold enhancement over its far-field value, reaching 62 kW m\(^{-2}\) for MgF\(_2\) and 38 kW m\(^{-2}\) for Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) at a similar temperature difference of 12 K. The radiative HTCs, \( h_{NFRHT} \), are shown in Figure 4B,D. The previously largest observed HTC at 50 nm gap sizes was 1075 W m\(^{-2}\)K\(^{-1}\), for NFRHT between SiO\(_2\) plates. In part (b), we show measurements for Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) of HTC values reaching \( \sim 1200 \) W m\(^{-2}\)K\(^{-1}\) at the same gap sizes, and in part (d) we show measurements for MgF\(_2\) that reach \( \sim 2500 \) W m\(^{-2}\)K\(^{-1}\). The latter measurement achieves 47% of the global SPhP bound (black solid lines) and is a new record at 50 nm gap sizes.

The HTCs that are extracted from the measured heat fluxes, as plotted in Figures 4B,D, follow nearly identical curves, as expected for HTCs, given the small difference in temperature. To confirm that the NF enhancement is due to the presence of
the polar-dielectric films, we also performed control experiments between the emitter and receiver without a polar dielectric layer deposited on the Si surfaces (magenta markers). These silicon devices (DSi) were n-doped with phosphorus, 400 nm deep at a doping concentration of 2.7 × 10^{21} cm^{-3}. While the DSi-DSi measurements serve as control experiments for this study, they also are the first NFRHT measurements between doped silicon plates at gap sizes down to 25 nm.

Finally, we develop theoretical models of the expected heat fluxes and HTC (colored solid lines in Figure 4; see Supporting Information) for spectral heat transfer and dispersion plots) that show close agreement with the experimental measurements. The total radiative conductance is calculated as the sum of the contributions from the “mesa” which is directly in the NP, and the recessed region “rec”. The “mesa” structure is modeled as a 6-layer device (Figure 2B), while the “rec” region is modeled as a 4-layer structure. The receiver is modeled as a 2-layer structure with the thin dielectric on silicon substrate. The dielectric functions of materials (Si, SiO$_2$, doped Si)$_2$ necessary for these calculations, were acquired from past work$^{45,46}$ (represented as $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ in Figure 4). However, the published optical data on MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ films vary widely due to different deposition conditions and sample preparation. Therefore, we directly measured the optical constants for MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ for free-space wavelengths 1.7−33 μm with a spectroscopic ellipsometer (see Supporting Information). The deposited MgF$_2$ shows significant inhomogeneous broadening of the SPhP resonance around 69 meV due to the amorphous nature of the films (see Supporting Information). The small discrepancies between the computed and experimental results can be attributed to differences in dielectric functions and small deviations from planarity and parallelism between the devices.

We note that our optimization of NFRHT phonon-polariton materials subject to the LST relation revealed an optimal material with a resonance frequency at 67 meV. This, in fact, is in line with past work$^{39}$ by some of us, where it was discovered that optimal materials for maximum NFRHT between bodies described by any causal susceptibilities should have a key characteristic property: Surface-wave resonances must occur at a smaller “near-field Wien frequency” of 67 meV or 19 μm free space wavelength (for operation at 300 K). In contrast to the predictions of Wien’s law that, in the far-field, RHT attains a maximum at a frequency of ~100−130 meV (300 K). We note that, due to the narrow-band nature of NFRHT, the optimum frequency is red-shifted to 67 meV, which we term as the “near-field Wien frequency” at room temperature. Thus, our optimization for Drude–Lorentz permittivities in this work, validated by the experimental measurements when combined with our computational analysis of materials with Drude-like permittivities in a previous work,$^{39}$ reveal a universal framework for identifying materials with maximum NFRHT: Materials supporting strong resonances at “near-field Wien frequencies” can maximize NFRHT between two bodies.

In this work, we experimentally probed NFRHT at room temperature and demonstrated record near-field HTCs in MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ for gap sizes ranging from 1 μm to below 50 nm. We show that these materials support significantly larger NFRHT compared to those previously considered, such as SiO$_2$ because they support SPhP resonances close to the near-field Wien frequency. Strikingly, the near-field conductance of MgF$_2$ approaches within 47% of the theoretical upper bound for any SPhP resonance. Looking forward, we anticipate that new material platforms or architectures will be necessary for further enhancements of NFRHT. For example, plasmon-mediated NFRHT arising from free-electron response can yield further increases beyond SPhPs (due to broader resonances) but will require new doping schemes or novel 2D-material measurements. Alternatively, structured metasurfaces or Van der Waals heterostructures offer additional geometrical degrees of freedom; a combination of optimal materials and optimal structuring may lead to the ultimate limits of NFRHT.
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1. Device fabrication and deposition of dielectric films

The micro-fabrication procedure for the emitter devices is shown Figure S1 and is related to previous work (4,8,10). Briefly, we start (step 1) with a double silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafer with a 15 µm-thick top crystalline-Si device layer, separated from the 40 µm-thick crystalline-Si middle layer via a 1 µm-thick silicon dioxide layer. Another 1 µm-thick silicon dioxide layer separates these two layers from a 500 µm-thick Si handle layer. A ~400 nm-thick n-doped (2.7 $\times$ $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$) layer is grown on this wafer by phosphorous diffusion at 975º C for 12 minutes (step 2). This wafer is then lithographically patterned and etched using a reactive ion etching (RIE) tool to form the 15 µm-thick mesa structure (step 3). Subsequently, two layers of 30 nm and 100 nm-thick platinum were deposited by lift-off techniques, forming the thermometer, heater and electrical contacts (step 4). Next, the wafer is patterned using a thick photoresist (AZ 9260) to protect the mesa, and to define the structure of the beams and the island. The two oxide layers and the 40 µm-thick middle Si layers are successively etched in a RIE process (step 5). An appropriately sized window is made on the backside of the wafer and the 500 µm-thick Si handle layer is etched in an RIE process, stopping at the backside of the emitter. The devices are then cleaned in acetone, IPA and piranha to strip the photoresist and other contaminants introduced during the processing. This (step 6) completes the release of the emitter devices.

Finally (step 7), we deposit thin films of the two dielectrics described in this work. MgF$_2$ is deposited using evaporation technique in an SJ-20 e-beam evaporator. The deposition rate is characterized by measuring the deposited thickness in Nanospec 6100, a non-contact spectroscopic reflectometry technique. The 194 nm-thick amorphous layer of Al$_2$O$_3$ is deposited in a thermal-assisted atomic layer deposition technique (Veeco Fiji atomic layer deposition tool). Trimethylaluminum (TMA - Al(CH$_3$)$_3$) and H$_2$O were used as the precursor of metallic aluminum and oxygen ions, and the deposition was performed at a temperature of 200º C. The optical constants of the two dielectric films were measured by J.A. Woollam Co. Ellipsometry solutions.
Figure S1. Steps involved in fabrication of the emitter device.

2. Theoretical Modeling

We treat the two bodies as homogenous, isothermal and semi-infinite objects with multilayered structures. The different materials present in each body are represented schematically in Figure 1c of the main manuscript. The net radiative heat transfer $q(T_1, T_2, d)$ is then calculated as:

$$q(T_1, T_2, d) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{4\pi^2} \left[ \Theta(\omega, T_1) - \Theta(\omega, T_2) \right] \int_0^\infty dk \left[ \tau_s(\omega, k) + \tau_p(\omega, k) \right],$$

where $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the temperatures of the emitter and the receiver, $d$ is the gap-size, $\omega$ is the angular frequency of electromagnetic modes, $k$ is the component of the wave-vector parallel to the interface, $\Theta(\omega, T) = \hbar \omega / \exp(\hbar \omega / (k_B T) - 1))$ is the mean-energy of a Planck oscillator and $\tau_s$ and $\tau_p$ are the transmission probabilities of the s-polarized and the p-polarized modes, described by the following expressions

\[ \tau_s(\omega, k) \] and \[ \tau_p(\omega, k) \]
\[
\tau_{a=s,p}^{12}(\omega, k) = \begin{cases} 
(1 - |R_a^1|^2)(1 - |R_a^2|^2) \left| \frac{D_a}{D_a} \right|^2, & \text{if } k < \omega / c, \text{ propagating waves} \\
4 \text{Im} \left( R_a^1 \right) \text{Im} \left( R_a^2 \right) e^{-2 \text{Im}(\gamma_0) d} \left| \frac{D_a}{D_a} \right|^2, & \text{if } k > \omega / c, \text{ evanescent waves}
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( R_a^i \) is the Fresnel reflection-coefficient of the multi-layer structure where the super-script \( i \) refers to the body 1 or body 2. These coefficients are calculated for each body, starting from the vacuum side (referred to as medium ‘0’), based on standard theory of layered media (1). The Fresnel reflection coefficient at any interface between media \( i \) and \( j \) for the s-polarization is

\[
\gamma_s = \frac{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)}{(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)}
\]

and for the p-polarization is given by

\[
\gamma_p = \frac{(\epsilon_j \gamma_i - \epsilon_i \gamma_j)}{(\epsilon_j \gamma_i + \epsilon_i \gamma_j)}
\]

where \( \gamma_i = \sqrt{\epsilon_i(\omega) \omega^2 / c^2 - k^2} \) is the component of the wave-vector perpendicular to the interface; \( \epsilon_i(\omega) \) is the frequency-dependent dielectric permittivity of the \( i^{th} \) medium, \( c \) is the speed of light and \( D_a = 1 - R_a^1 R_a^2 e^{2i\gamma_0 d} \). To account for the anisotropic dielectric permittivity of MgF\(_2\) (birefringence: ordinary, \( \epsilon_o \) and extraordinary, \( \epsilon_e \) components of the dielectric permittivity, \( \epsilon_{\text{lit}} \)), the expressions are modified to

\[
\gamma_s = \sqrt{\epsilon_o(\omega) \omega^2 / c^2 - k^2}
\]

and

\[
\gamma_p = \sqrt{\epsilon_o(\omega) \omega^2 / c^2 - \epsilon_e(\omega) k^2 / \epsilon_o(\omega)}
\]

and the ordinary component used in the calculation of reflection coefficients (2). Finally, the radiative heat transfer coefficient \( h(T, d) \) can be either calculated as \( q(T_1, T_2, d) / (T_1 - T_2) \) or

\[
h(T, d) \equiv \lim_{(T_e - T_i) \to 0} \left| q(T_1, T_2, d) / T_1 - T_2 \right| = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{4\pi^2} \frac{\partial\Theta(\omega, T)}{\partial T} \int_0^\infty dkk \left[ \tau_s(\omega, k) + \tau_p(\omega, k) \right].
\]

In order to compare our experimental results with theoretical expectation, we consider our device structure to consist of two distinct regions ‘mesa’ and ‘rec’ (shown in Figures 2B and 3B of main manuscript). At each gap size, the contributions from mesa and rec were calculated using the framework described above. The total radiative heat transfer coefficient is then defined as the sum of the contributions from mesa and rec.
3. Thermal characterization of the emitter devices

In order to characterize the thermal properties of our suspended emitters, we employed a half-Wheatstone bridge as shown in Figure S2a. A known current $I_{AC}$ is passed through the serpentine resistor ($R_{serpentine}$) and a potentiometer whose resistance ($R_{matching}$) is set to match that of the platinum resistor. The voltages across the platinum resistor and the matching resistor are fed to two instrumentation amplifiers (AD524) with a unity gain. The outputs from these first-stage amplifiers are then fed to the second-stage amplifier with a unity gain, thus obtaining a good common-mode rejection. The signal at this point is fed to a lock-in amplifier (SR830) and the voltage at the relevant frequency and harmonic are monitored during the experiment.

The temperature changes associated with the near-field radiative heat flux are measured by monitoring the associated resistance change ($\Delta R$) of the serpentine platinum resistor on the emitter device. An AC current $I_{AC} = 70 \, \mu A$ at a frequency of 497 Hz is passed through the circuit shown in Figure S2a. The voltage change $\Delta V_{AC}$ at this frequency is then measured using an SR830 lock-in amplifier. The temperature change is calculated as

$$\Delta T_{AC} = \frac{\Delta V_{AC}}{I_{AC}R_0\alpha}$$

where $R_0$ is the resistance of the platinum resistor at room temperature (300 K) and $\alpha = 1.86 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-3} \, K^{-1}$ is the temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR).

Platinum thermometry

The TCR of the thin film platinum resistor was characterized in an independent measurement, where the fabricated device was mounted on the cold finger of a Janis ST-100 cryostat, whose temperature was controlled using a Lakeshore 335 temperature controller. The resistance of the device was measured by passing a current of 1 µA at a frequency of 1 kHz using a Keithley 6221 current source, while the amplitude of the associated sinusoidal voltage signal was measured using an SR830 lock-in amplifier. The measured resistance changes as a function of the temperature from 298 K to ~311 K is plotted in Figure S2b, which indicates good linearity. The slope ($dR/dT$) obtained by fitting a linear equation on this data is $7.29 \pm 0.11 \, \Omega \, K^{-1}$. Thus, the TCR or $\alpha$ is measured to be $1.86 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-3} \, K^{-1}$. 
Frequency response of the emitter

The frequency response of our emitter is characterized by measuring modulated temperature changes at second harmonic ($\Delta T_{2f}$) associated with heat dissipation ($Q_{2f}$). A sinusoidal current with an amplitude of $I_{AC} = 300 \, \mu A$ is passed through the circuit, while the frequency, $f$ is varied from 0.2 Hz to 600 Hz. This causes a heat dissipation in the emitter with a DC component and an AC component at $2f$, $Q_{2f} = I_{AC}^2 R/2$, where $R$ is the resistance of the platinum resistor. This causes the emitter’s temperature to fluctuate at $2f$, $\Delta T_{2f}$. Since, we measure the resistance change associated with this temperature modulation using sinusoidal current at $f$, amplitude of the sinusoidal voltage is measured at third harmonic, $\Delta V_{3f}$. The temperature change is then related to the sinusoidal voltage signal as

$$\Delta T_{2f} = \frac{2\Delta V_{3f}}{I_{AC} R_0 \alpha}$$

This modulated temperature change as a function of the frequency applied, $f$ is plotted in Figure S2c. A full thermal response is achieved at frequencies below ~5 Hz, after which the signal starts to roll-off. From this curve, we estimate the time constant of our device to be 65 ms or a thermal cut-off frequency of 15 Hz.

Thermal Conductance of the beam

The thermal conductance of the device ($G_{dev}$) is calculated in a 3f-measurement scheme, where a sinusoidal current at a fixed frequency of 1 Hz – chosen for a full thermal response of the device – is passed through the platinum resistor and the amplitude is varied from 300 $\mu$A to 1.2 mA. This results in a temperature modulation at 2 Hz, which is calculated as described previously. The variation of $Q_{2f} = I_{AC}^2 R/2$ as a function of the temperature change $\Delta T_{2f}$ is plotted in Figure S2d. A clear linear relationship is observed in this temperature range, where the slope of the line revealed the thermal conductance of the emitter to be $261 \pm 1.8 \, \mu W \, K^{-1}$. 
Figure S2. Thermal characterization of the emitter device. (a) The electronic circuit employed to measure the temperature change by monitoring the associated resistance change. $R_{\text{serpentine}}$ and $R_{\text{matching}}$ are the resistances of the platinum resistor and a potentiometer. Two stages of instrumentation amplifiers aid in common-mode rejection. A lock-in amplifier detects the modulated signal which is then collected in a DAQ board. (b) The resistance of the platinum resistor ($R_{\text{serpentine}}$) as a function of its temperature. (c) The detected temperature change as a function of the frequency of the sinusoidal current passing through the platinum resistor. The frequency response indicates that the time constant of our device is $\sim 65$ ms. (d) The modulated power dissipation, $Q_{2\omega}$ as a function of the detected temperature change at $2f$. The slope from the linear fit indicates that the thermal conductance of this device is $261 \mu W/K$.

4. Micro-structure characterization of the dielectric films

In order to understand the micro-structure of the deposited thin films and determine the cause for broadening observed in the measured dielectric functions, we have performed X-Ray diffraction
measurements on silicon samples coated with MgF₂ and Al₂O₃ using a Rigaku Miniflex 600 X-Ray Diffractometer. A Cu K-α radiation in a 2θ range of 10–90° (current: 15 mA, voltage: 40 kV) was used as the source. A bare silicon sample was used as a reference and XRD patterns were quantitatively analyzed using an integrated X-ray diffraction software (PDXL). Supplementary Figure S3 shows the XRD pattern of the bare Si substrate, MgF₂ and Al₂O₃ coated Si substrates. The Si substrate exhibits two distinct peaks at 69.0° and 29.0°, corresponding to its crystal axes. The XRD peaks of MgF₂ films are almost identical with a bare Si XRD and no additional peaks are observed, implying that the deposited layer is entirely amorphous as previously observed in room-temperature deposition of MgF₂ films by evaporation (3,4). Likewise, the XRD peaks of Al₂O₃ film were mostly identical with bare Si, except the two additional peaks at 33.3° and 65.0°–70.0°. The broad peaks may indicate a mix of crystalline and amorphous phases as typically observed in ALD grown films (5). Especially, broad range peak at 65.0°–70.0° is a clear indication of α-alumina formed in the ALD process (6). From these XRD measurements, we conclude that two films deposited (MgF₂, Al₂O₃) are mostly amorphous.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure S3.** X-ray diffraction measurements on bare Si and Si samples coated with 85 nm-thick MgF₂ and 194 nm-thick Al₂O₃.

5. **Dielectric films approach bulk case below gaps of 100 nm**

In order to understand the effect of film thickness on the radiative heat transfer properties, we compare the theoretical $h_{NFRHT}$ for each material in its bulk form and when deposited as a film on
bulk Si. The results from these calculations are plotted in Figure S4c for different thicknesses of MgF₂, Al₂O₃ and SiO₂. Clearly, below a gap size of 100 nm, all the materials approach a trend, like that of the bulk counterparts and a significant deviation is observed for large gap sizes. When the gap size is comparable to or smaller than the thickness of the dielectric films, the penetration depth of the SPhP modes contributing to NFRHT becomes comparable to the gap size (7-9). Thus, at gap sizes smaller than the thickness of the films, the NFRHT between thin films approaches that of the bulk case, as seen in Figure S4c.

Figure S4. NFRHT between bulk and thin-film materials. (a) Schematic of two semi-infinite plates depicting the bulk case for the dielectric films. (b) Schematic of the multi-layer structure with each object consisting of a dielectric film on a semi-infinite Si sample. (c) The calculated radiative heat transfer conductance as a function of gap size for different thin films and the corresponding bulk counterparts. Solid lines correspond to results for the bulk cases and dashed lines correspond to results for the thin-film cases.

6. Dielectric function measurement

The optical constants $n$ & $k$ for the dielectric films coated on a silicon substrate were measured using an infrared ellipsometry technique in the wavelength range of 1.7 - 33 µm using an IR-VASE Mark II ellipsometer. The ellipsometry parameters, $\psi$ and $\Delta$ at two incident angles of 55° and 65° were measured for MgF₂- and Al₂O₃-coated Si samples along with a bare Si sample for reference. A model satisfying the Kramers-Kronig relationship was then used to determine the thickness, refractive index and extinction coefficient as a function of the wavelength of the incident light. The parameters in the model were iteratively varied to find a good fit between experiments and theoretical calculations. The optical constants obtained in this method are shown in Figure S5. We
use these measured dielectric functions in the theoretical framework described before, to calculate $h_{\text{NFRHT}}$ as a function of gap size, for MgF$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$. All other dielectric functions of different materials discussed in this work were obtained from Ref. (10).

![Figure S5](image.png)

*Figure S5. Measured optical constants of the dielectric films, $n$ and $k$ for (a) MgF$_2$ coated sample and (b) Al$_2$O$_3$ coated sample.*

7. **Spectral characteristics of NFRHT**

To gain further insights into the mechanism for this enhancement, we plot the spectral radiative flux of our system for three gap sizes of 50 nm, 100 nm and 1000 nm in Figures S6a, c. A narrow-band NF enhancement is observed as the gap size is reduced from 1000 nm to 50 nm (solid lines calculated using measured dielectric function), due to the contribution from SPhP resonance in Al$_2$O$_3$ (Figure S6a) and MgF$_2$ (Figure S6c). A clear distinction between the width of the peaks can be noticed when compared with the calculations using dielectric functions based on crystalline MgF$_2$ (dashed lines) due to the amorphous nature of our films. In fact, the measured dielectric function showed significant inhomogeneous broadening of the SPhP resonance around 67 meV. This is further supported by XRD characterization of the films which did not reveal any peaks other than that of the underlying silicon substrate, indicating the amorphous nature of the films. Due to the inherent broadband nature of RHT, such broadening of the resonances does not significantly affect $h_{\text{NFRHT}}$, as substantiated by the good match with our experimental data. More importantly, the SPhP resonance at 67 meV as opposed to that of 144 meV for the silica case,
results in a 2.5-fold enhanced NFRHT. In Figures S6b, d, we also plot the transmission probabilities for the p-polarized modes, as a function of frequency ($\omega$) and parallel wavevector ($k$) at a gap size of 50 nm. $Q_{\text{NFRHT}}$ is dominated by the TM modes around $\omega = 67$ meV with contributions ($\tau_p = 1$, shown in yellow band) from very large wavevectors (Figure S6d).

Figure S6. Spectral characteristics of NFRHT. (a) Theoretical calculation of spectral radiative heat flux between the devices coated with Al$_2$O$_3$ in the frequency range of 0.02 - 0.2 eV for gap sizes of 50, 100 and 1000 nm. Solid lines represent the calculations based on the measured dielectric function while the dashed lines represent calculations based on dielectric function data from past measurements on crystalline Al$_2$O$_3$. The black solid line is the spectral heat flux for SiO$_2$ at a gap size of 50 nm. (b) The transmission probability of TM modes at a gap size of 50 nm, plotted as a function of frequency and parallel wavevector for Al$_2$O$_3$. The black dashed line indicates the light line. (c) same as (a) but for MgF$_2$. (d) same as (b) but for MgF$_2$. 
8. The Lyddane-Sachs-Teller (LST) relation in SPhP materials

What differentiates an SPhP material from free-electron-based polaritonic materials? Intuitively, we know that SPhP materials support narrow-band Drude-Lorentz-like permittivities, in constrast to the broad Drude-like response of free-electron-based SPP materials. As discussed in the main text, a key distinguishing feature related to the lineshapes noted above is that there is typically a ratio of the frequencies of the longitudinal optical phonon mode to the transverse optical phonon mode, $\omega_{LO}/\omega_{TO}$, that phonon-polaritonic materials do not surpass. These ratios play a central role in the Lyddane-Sachs-Teller (LST) relation (11,12): $(\omega_{LO}/\omega_{TO})^2 = \varepsilon_{st}/\varepsilon_{\infty}$, where $\varepsilon_{st}$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ are the phonon-mode contributions to the static and high-frequency permittivities, and which also have similar values for any phonon. This constraint on $\omega_{LO}/\omega_{TO}$ for phonon-polaritonic materials originates from compounded effects of the masses of atoms and the crystal structures of materials. An empirical upper limit of this ratio is 2 for common optical materials. The table and figure show specific optical phonon mode frequencies of some materials (10, 14-16).

![Figure S7. Relationship between the LO and TO mode frequencies of common materials that support phonon-polaritons.](image)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\omega_{LO}$(meV)</th>
<th>$\omega_{TO}$(meV)</th>
<th>$\omega_{LO}/\omega_{TO}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{st}/\varepsilon_{\infty}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AgF</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1.882</td>
<td>3.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InSb</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>1.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSe</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InAs</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>1.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaAs</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InP</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>1.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaP</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>1.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>1.805</td>
<td>3.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgF$_2$</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>1.462</td>
<td>2.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaN</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>1.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlN</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>1.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>130.9</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>1.530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1. The LO and the TO modes of some common materials, along with the ratios $\omega_{LO}/\omega_{TO}$ and $\varepsilon_{st}/\varepsilon_{\infty}$ revealing the validity of Lyddane-Sachs-Teller relationship.

9. Multi-oscillator optimization for SPhP materials with LST constraints

In the main text, we claim that the optimal SPhP material is one with a single optical phonon transition at the optimal frequency. In this section, we provide detailed analysis for this argument and show how this single-oscillator result comes from numerical experiments without prescribing any limit to the number of oscillators or oscillator frequencies.

In this analysis, we consider all possible SPhP materials that satisfy causality and the Lyddane-Sachs-Teller (LST) constraints. Depending on the lattice structures, material susceptibilities can have several optical phonon modes. For example, SiO$_2$ has several optical phonon bands in the infrared regime, each with significant contributions to HTC. Would more oscillators mean larger response in HTC? How many oscillators are optimal? Also, would the optimal frequency be different if there are more than one oscillators?

To represent any causality-allowed permittivity, we follow the approach in Ref. [16], as discussed in the main text, and write any SPhP material permittivity as a sum of Drude-Lorentz oscillator,
\[ \varepsilon(\omega) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\omega_{p,i}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_i^2 + i\omega\gamma_i} \]

where \( \omega_{p,i}^2 \equiv c_i \) are the squared oscillator amplitudes. With a large enough number of oscillators, one can represent any possible material permittivity with this form. To optimize over all possible permittivities, then, one can do the following: choose a dense grid of oscillator frequencies along the real line. An appropriately small loss rate should be paired with each frequency. (Details of how this choice can be made are given in Ref. [16].) The only degrees of freedom, then, are the coefficients, \( c_i = \omega_{p,i}^2 \). One can optimize over these coefficients using any gradient-based optimization techniques (below we use a modified version of gradient descent), with sufficiently many different starting points to see if a global optimum emerges.

For realistic SPhP materials, we must impose the LST relation as an additional constraint on the coefficients in the permittivity representation. Taking the ratio of (phonon-contributed) static and high-frequency dielectric constants to be bounded above by 4 implies that the coefficients in the permittivity representation must satisfy \( \sum_i \frac{c_i}{\omega_i} \leq 3 \). There is in fact another implicit constraint that must be satisfied as well: that all coefficients remain nonnegative throughout the optimization. How can one incorporate these constraints into a gradient-based algorithm?

Notationally, we bundle the oscillator strengths \( c_i \) into a vector \( \mathbf{c} \), of size \( N \times 1 \) for \( N \) oscillators. We create a second vector \( N \times 1 \) vector \( \mathbf{v} \) whose elements are given by \( \frac{1}{\omega_i} \). We start the optimization with a randomly chosen vector \( \mathbf{c}_0 \) that satisfies the equality version of the LST constraint. (We can assume the solution will satisfy the equality LST relation; otherwise, it would represent an “inactive” constraint and the SPhP optimum would be the same as the Drude-allowed optimum, which of course it cannot be.) At each iteration, we compute the gradient, \( \mathbf{g} = \frac{\partial (HTC)}{\partial \mathbf{c}} \), of the HTC with respect to \( \mathbf{c} \) (details given below of how to compute the gradient). We want to find a “step,” \( \Delta \mathbf{c} \), roughly in the direction of the gradient, but simultaneously respect the equality LST constraint and the positive constraint. We form a linear program to meet each of these objectives:

\[
\min_{\Delta \mathbf{c}} (-\mathbf{g}^T \Delta \mathbf{c}) \\
\text{s.t. } \mathbf{v}^T \Delta \mathbf{c} = 0 \\
0 \leq \mathbf{c}_0 + \Delta \mathbf{c}
\]
\[ \| \Delta \mathbf{c} \|_1 \leq b. \]

The objective promotes large overlap with the gradient (and hence the maximum possible increase in HTC for a given step size). The first constraint enforces the LST condition, while the second enforces the positivity constraint. The last is a “box” constraint that ensures small steps are taken, for which the gradient will be a good approximation of the HTC function across the design landscape. We perform many iterations (up to 100) and find that the optimizations always converge to a single-oscillator solutions. (Or, due to discretization, two neighboring nonzero oscillators with all others zero.)

Before showing the results of the optimization, we provide more details of how to compute the gradient:

\[
g_i = \frac{\partial \text{HTC}}{\partial c_i} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^\infty \frac{d\beta}{\partial T} \frac{\partial \xi(\omega, \beta)}{\partial c_i},
\]

where \( \beta \) is the wavevector component parallel to the gap surface, and \( \xi(\omega, \beta) \) is the modal photon exchange:

\[
\xi(\omega, \beta) = \frac{4(\text{Im} \, r)^2 e^{2ik_0zd}}{|1-r^2 e^{2ik_0zd}|^2},
\]

where \( r(\omega, \beta) = \frac{k_{0,z} e^{-k_{1,z}}}{k_{0,z} e^{+k_{1,z}}} \) is the reflectivity and \( k_{j,z} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_j \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \beta^2} \) is z-component of the wavevector inside the vacuum gap \( (j = 0) \) and inside the \( \varepsilon_1 \) material \( (j = 1) \). We perform the integration numerically for each element of the gradient vector.

To showcase the result, we use temperature \( T = 300 \, \text{K} \) and gap separation \( d = 50 \, \text{nm} \), but our findings generalize to any temperature and near-field gap separation of interest. We observe in the optimization process that the numerical gradients usually favor smaller frequency oscillators (i.e., Drude-like responses), but the imposition of the total LST constraint tends to push the optimal solutions to higher frequencies. The optimization always evolves to a single nonzero oscillator strength, at the same oscillator frequency that is optimal if one only optimizes the strength, frequency, and loss rate of a single Drude-Lorentz oscillator. For the 300K temperature and 50nm gap-separation case, this optimal oscillator has a frequency close to \( \omega_{\text{TO},i} = 0.045 \, \text{eV} \) and an amplitude \( \omega_{p,i} = \sqrt{3} \omega_{\text{TO},i} \), corresponding to \( \omega_{\text{LO}} = 2\omega_{\text{TO}} \), with an optimal HTC of 5400 \, \text{Wm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}.\]
Figure S8 shows the initial (blue circles) and optimal (red circles) oscillator distribution of an example optimization, as well as the improvement in HTC values through all iterations.

Figure S8. Multiple-oscillator optimization of HTC with LST constraints. The setup is temperature $T = 300 \, \text{K}$ and the gap separation $d = 50 \, \text{nm}$. (a) Initial (blue) and optimal (red) oscillator distribution. (b) HTC values at each iteration.

10. Temperature and loss rate dependence of optimal SPhP materials

How do the optimal SPhP material properties depend on the temperature for NFHRT? The optimization approaches we used can be easily generalized to any other temperature. For any temperature, the optimal response again emerges as a single Drude-Lorentz oscillator at an optimal frequency $\omega_{TO}$ which scales linearly with temperature. In Figure S9 we show the optimal frequencies and the corresponding optimal HTC values for temperatures in the range 100 K to 2000 K. The linear dependence of the optimal oscillator frequency on the temperature is analogous to Wien’s Law, for far-field radiation, but with a different scaling constant (as shown in the main text of Ref. [16], and which emerges here in the SPhP case). The linear scaling of the optimal HTC as a function of temperature is a unique feature of the near field (far-field blackbody HTC scales as the cube of temperature). It emerges from the combination of the fact that the Planck function peaks at zero frequency, while near-field local density of states must satisfy an all-frequency sum rule. Our optimizations confirm these intuitions.
Figure S9. Temperature dependence of optimal oscillator frequency (blue) and the corresponding optimal HTC (red).

How does the loss rate $\gamma$ affect the optimal material choice and maximal HTC? Fundamentally, the loss rate plays very little part in the resonance condition and therefore does not affect the optimal resonance frequency for NFRHT. Figure S10 shows the optimal oscillator frequency $\omega_{TO}$ and optimal HTC for a range of material loss rates (normalized by oscillator amplitude $\omega_p$). The optimal $\omega_{TO}$ (blue curve) is essentially constant. In terms of optimal HTC, it can only be affected by loss rate to a limited degree. As illustrated in Figure S10, except for extremely small loss rates, the peak HTC (red curve) is relatively robust as a function of loss rates.

Figure S10. Optimal oscillator frequency $\omega_{TO}$ (blue) and optimal HTC (red) for different material loss rates $\gamma$, where $\gamma$ is normalized by oscillator amplitude $\omega_p$. 
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